arXiv:nlin/0108002v6 [nlin.SI] 25 Feb 2002

Hyperelliptic Solutions of Modified Korteweg-de Vries Equation of Genus g: Essentials of Miura Transformation

Shigeki MATSUTANI

8-21-1 Higashi-Linkan Sagamihara 228-0811 Japan

Abstract

Explicit hyperelliptic solutions of the modified Korteweg-de Vries equations without any ambiguous parameters were constructed in terms only of the hyperelliptic al-functions over non-degenerated hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = f(x)$ of arbitrary genus g. In the derivation, any θ-functions or Baker-Akhiezer functions were not essentially used. Then the Miura transformation naturally appears as the connections between the hyperelliptic $\wp$-functions and hyperelliptic al-functions.

2000 MSC: 37K20, 35Q53, 14H45, 14H70
PACS: 02.30.Gp, 02.30.Dk, 02.30.Jr, 43.25.Rq

§1. Introduction

It might not be excess expressions that the discovery of Miura transformation opened the modern soliton theory [GGKM]. Using it, the inverse scattering method was discovered and developed [L]. In such developments of the theory, there appeared several algebraic solutions of integrable nonlinear differential equations in 1970's ([Kr], references in [BBEIM], [TD]). These solutions were obtained by arguments on the behaviors of algebraic or meromorphic functions around infinite points of corresponding algebraic curves. Their arguments are based on a certain existence theorems of holomorphic functions and theorem of identity of analytic functions. They played very important roles and contributed to the developments of the soliton theories in 1980's. They are especially connected to the abstract theories of modern mathematics, which are, now, called infinite dimensional analysis [SN, SS]. Thus, needless to say that they have also important meanings.

However their solutions are not, sometimes, enough to have effects on some concrete computations of algebraic solutions; *e.g.* the cases in which one wishes to determine coefficients of higher degrees of Laurent or Taylor expansions of the solutions and in which one wishes to draw a graph of solutions without computation of transcendental equations. Recently theories of hyperelliptic functions which were discovered in nineteenth century [Ba1-3, Kl1] has been re-evaluated in various fields [BEL1-3,CEEK, EE, EEK, EEL, EEP, G, Ma1-2, N, Ô1-2]. One of purpose of the re-evaluations seems to reconstruct and to refine the modern soliton theory and algebraic geometry.

Because the theories of nineteenth century are very concrete. First they chose a hyperelliptic curve. After fixing the curve, they considered the meromorphic functions over it. In nineteenth century, the study of the hyperelliptic functions was very important as a generalization of elliptic

---

[0] e-mail:RXB01142@nifty.ne.jp

function, which was done by Weierstrass, Klein, Jacobi and so on [D, Kl2]. As it should be very surprising, even in the nineteenth century, there appeared most of tools and objects in soliton theories. In fact, Baker found the Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy and Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) equations around 1898 in terms of bilinear operators, Paffians, symmetric functions [Ba3, Ma2].

By changing the century now, we can look around both of them. It is very interesting to compare the theory of soliton equations in the ending of last century with that of hyperelliptic function in nineteenth century. Both have merits and demerits. When one wishes the concrete expressions, the theories of nineteenth century are very convenient. However if one wishes to deal with it in the framework of infinite dimensional analysis, the older theories might be useless.

This article is on the hyperelliptic solutions of modified Korteweg-de Vries equation (MKdV) related to hyperelliptic curves with higher genus by employing the fashion in nineteenth century. In the previous report [Ma3], I constructed those of genus one and two associated with loop solitons. This article can be regarded as its sequel one to arbitrary genus. Only using the Weierstrass al-function [W, Ba2 p.340], we will construct th e hyperelliptic solutions of the MKdV equation in theorem 3-2. In the construction, we will not essentially use any θ functions or Baker-Akhiezer functions though the algebro-geometric solutions in terms of them were already obtained by Gesztesy and Holden [GH1] recently. Our solutions are explicitly constructed without ambiguous parameters for arbitrary hyperelliptic curves and our proof might be, I believe, simpler than that in terms of Baker-Akhiezer functions. In the proof, we translate the differential operators in the Jacobian into those in the curve and evaluate the related terms by using residual computations and symmetries. As the Weierstrass al-function should be regarded as a generalization of the Jacobi elliptic functions and in a calculus of Jacobi elliptic functions, we do not need theta-functions except addition formula, our proof is more natural.

In the construction of the hyperelliptic solutions of the MKdV equation, we compare the hyperelliptic $\wp$ functions and the al-functions. As the hyperelliptic $\wp$ functions are connected with the finite type solutions of the KdV equation [BEL1, 2, Ma2], we can investigate the Miura transformation between finite type solutions of the KdV equations and MKdV equations. In the Remark 3-3 and §4, we show that the Miura transformation for the finite type solutions is also natural from several viewpoints. Here we should remark that the comparison is essentially the same as the Baker's arguments in [Ba3]; there he found the KdV hierarchy and KP equation as relations among the $\wp$ functions [Ba3, Ma2]. Further we note that even though they did not mention it, Eilbeck, Enolskii and Kostov also found similar relation between $\wp$ and al on their computations of the vector nonlinear Schrödinger equation [EEK].

Due to [GGKM], any solutions of the KdV equation can be regarded as a potential in a one-dimensional Schrödinger equation whose spectrum is preserved for the time development of the solutions. From the spectral theory, the spectrum is determined by a characteristic relation over the complex number, which is identified with a hyperelliptic curve for a certain case. For the hyperelliptic curve case, the solutions of the KdV equation are given as meromorphic functions over the curve, which are called finite type solutions. Further any characteristic relations can be approximated by the hyperelliptic curves via the Weierstrass's approximation theorem. It implies that the finite type solutions are dense in the solutions space of the KdV equation. Thus Our remarks in §3 and §4 exhibit essentials of the Miura transformation. Though Gesztesy and Holden [GH2] also considered the Miura transformation from viewpoint of algebro-geometrical computations of MKdV equation, our viewpoint on the remarks differs from theirs. Thus our remarks give another aspect of Miura transformation.

§2. Differentials of a Hyperelliptic Curve

In this section, we will give the conventions which express the hyperelliptic functions in this article. As there is a good self-contained paper on theories of hyperelliptic sigma functions [BEL2] besides [Ba1,2,3], we will give them without explanations and proofs.

We denote the set of complex number by $\mathbb{C}$ and the set of integers by $\mathbb{Z}$.

Convention 2-1. *We deal with a hyperelliptic curve C_g of genus g ($g > 0$) given by the affine equation,*

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &= f(x) \\ &= \lambda_{2g+1}x^{2g+1} + \lambda_{2g}x^{2g} + \cdots + \lambda_2 x^2 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_0 \\ &= P(x)Q(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2-1}$$

where $\lambda_{2g+1} \equiv 1$ and λ_j's are complex numbers. We use the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (x-b_1)(x-b_2)\cdots(x-b_{2g})(x-b_{2g+1}), \\ Q(x) &= (x-c_1)(x-c_2)\cdots(x-c_g)(x-c), \\ P(x) &= (x-a_1)(x-a_2)\cdots(x-a_g), \end{aligned} \tag{2-2}$$

where a_j's, b_j's, c_j's and c are complex values and $b_{2g+2} = \infty$.

Proposition 2-2.

There exist several symmetries which express the same curve C_g.

1. *translational symmetry: For $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $(x, y) \to (x + \alpha_0, y)$, with $b_j \to b_j + \alpha_0$.*
2. *dilatation symmetry: For $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $(x, y) \to (\alpha_0 x, \alpha_0^{2g+1} y)$, with $b_j \to \alpha_0 b_j$.*
3. *inversion symmetry: For fixing b_i, $(x, y) \to (1/(x - b_i), y \prod_{j\neq i} \sqrt{b_i - b_j}/(x - b_i)^{(2g+1)/2})$ with $b_j \to 1/(b_j - b_i)$.*

Definition 2-3 [Ba1 , Ba2 BEL2, Ô1].

For a point $(x_i, y_i) \in C_g$, we define the following quantities.

(1) *Let us denote the homology of a hyperelliptic curve C_g by*

$$\mathrm{H}_1(C_g, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z}\beta_j, \tag{2-3}$$

where these intersections are given as $[\alpha_i, \alpha_j] = 0$, $[\beta_i, \beta_j] = 0$ and $[\alpha_i, \beta_j] = \delta_{i,j}$.

(2) *The unnormalized differentials of the first kind are defined by,*

$$du_1^{(i)} := \frac{dx_i}{2y_i}, \quad du_2^{(i)} := \frac{x_i dx_i}{2y_i}, \quad \cdots, \quad du_g^{(i)} := \frac{x_i^{g-1} dx_i}{2y_i}. \tag{2-4}$$

(3) *The unnormalized complete hyperelliptic integral of the first kind are defined by,*

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}' := \left[\left(\int_{\alpha_j} du_i^{(a)} \right)_{ij} \right], \quad \boldsymbol{\omega}'' := \left[\left(\int_{\beta_j} du_i^{(a)} \right)_{ij} \right], \quad \boldsymbol{\omega} := \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}' \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}'' \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2-5}$$

(4) *The normalized complete hyperelliptic integral of the first kind are given by,*

$${}^t\left[\, \widehat{\omega}_1 \cdots \ \ \widehat{\omega}_g \,\right] := {\boldsymbol{\omega}'}^{-1}\, {}^t\left[\, du_1^{(i)} \ \ \cdots du_g^{(i)} \,\right], \quad \boldsymbol{\tau} := {\boldsymbol{\omega}'}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\omega}'', \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} := \begin{bmatrix} 1_g \\ \boldsymbol{\tau} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2-6}$$

(5) *The unnormalized differentials of the second kind are defined by,*

$$d\tilde{u}_1^{(i)} := \frac{x_i^g dx_i}{2y_i}, \quad d\tilde{u}_2^{(i)} := \frac{x_i^{g+1} dx_i}{2y_i}, \quad \cdots, \quad d\tilde{u}_g^{(i)} := \frac{x_i^{2g-1} dx_i}{2y_i}, \tag{2-7}$$

and $d\mathbf{r}^{(i)} := (dr_1^{(i)}, dr_2^{(i)}, \cdots, dr_g^{(i)})$,

$$(d\mathbf{r}^{(i)}) := \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} d\mathbf{u}^{(i)} \\ d\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2-8}$$

where Λ *is* $2g \times g$ *matrix defined by*

$$\Lambda = \left(\begin{matrix} 0 & \lambda_3 & 2\lambda_4 & 3\lambda_5 & \cdots & (g-1)\lambda_{g+1} & g\lambda_{g+2} & (g+1)\lambda_{g+3} \\ & 0 & \lambda_5 & 2\lambda_6 & \cdots & (g-2)\lambda_{g+2} & (g-1)\lambda_{g+3} & g\lambda_{g+4} \\ & & 0 & \lambda_7 & \cdots & (g-3)\lambda_{g+3} & (g-2)\lambda_{g+4} & (g-1)\lambda_{g+5} \\ & & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & \text{\Huge 0} & & & \lambda_{2g-2} & 2\lambda_{2g-1} & 3\lambda_{2g+1} \\ & & & & & 0 & \lambda_{2g+1} & 0 \end{matrix} \right.$$

$$\left. \begin{matrix} \cdots & (2g-3)\lambda_{2g-1} & (2g-2)\lambda_{2g} & (2g-1)\lambda_{2g+1} \\ \cdots & (2g-4)\lambda_{2g} & (2g-3)\lambda_{2g+1} & 0 \\ \cdots & (2g-5)\lambda_{2g+1} & 0 & \\ \cdots & 0 & & \\ & & \text{\Huge 0} & \end{matrix} \right). \tag{2-9}$$

(6) *The complete hyperelliptic integral matrices as the second kind are defined by,*

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}' := \left[\left(\int_{\alpha_j} dr_i^{(a)} \right)_{ij} \right], \quad \boldsymbol{\eta}'' := \left[\left(\int_{\beta_j} dr_i^{(a)} \right)_{ij} \right], \quad \boldsymbol{\omega} := \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}' \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}'' \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2-5}$$

(7) *By defining the Abel map for* g*-th symmetric product of the curve* C_g*,*

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv (u_1, \cdots, u_g) : \mathrm{Sym}^g(C_g) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^g,$$

$$\left(u_k((x_1, y_1), \cdots, (x_g, y_g)) := \sum_{i=1}^{g} \int_{\infty}^{(x_i, y_i)} du_k^{(i)} \right), \tag{2-10}$$

the Jacobi variety $\mathcal{J}_g$ *are defined as complex torus,*

$$\mathcal{J}_g := \mathbb{C}^g / \mathbf{\Lambda}. \tag{2-11}$$

Here $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ *is a* g*-dimensional lattice generated by* $\boldsymbol{\omega}$*.*

Definition 2-4.

The coordinate in $\mathbb{C}^g$ *for points* $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1,\cdots,g}$ *of the curve* $y^2 = f(x)$ *is given by,*

$$u_j := \sum_{i=1}^{g} \int_{\infty}^{(x_i,y_i)} du_j^{(i)}. \tag{2-12}$$

(1) *The hyperelliptic* σ *functions, which is a holomorphic function over* $u \in \mathbb{C}^g$*, is defined by [Ba2, p.336, p.350, Kl1, BEL2],*

$$\sigma(u) = \sigma(u; C_g) := \ \gamma \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\ {}^t\ u \boldsymbol{\eta}' {\boldsymbol{\omega}'}^{-1} u) \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \delta'' \\ \delta' \end{bmatrix} ({\boldsymbol{\omega}'}^{-1} u; \boldsymbol{\tau}), \tag{2-13}$$

where γ *is a certain constant factor,*

$$\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} (z; \boldsymbol{\tau}) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \left[2\pi\sqrt{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\ {}^t(n+a)\boldsymbol{\tau}(n+a) + \ {}^t(n+a)(z+b) \right\} \right], \tag{2-14}$$

for g*-dimensional vectors* a *and* b*, and*

$$\delta' := \ {}^t \begin{bmatrix} \frac{g}{2} & \frac{g-1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \delta'' := \ {}^t \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2-15}$$

(2) *Hyperelliptic* $\wp$*-function is defined by [Ba1, Ba2 p.370, BEL2],*

$$\wp_{ij}(u) := -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} \log \sigma(u). \tag{2-16}$$

(3) *Hyperelliptic* al*-function is defined by [Ba2 p.340, W],*

$$\mathrm{al}_r(u) = \gamma' \sqrt{F(b_r)}, \tag{2-17}$$

where γ' *is a certain constant factor,*

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &:= (x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_g) \\ &= \gamma_g x^g + \gamma_{g-1} x^{g-1} + \cdots + \gamma_0, \end{aligned} \tag{2-18}$$

$\gamma_g \equiv 1$ *and* γ_i*'s are elementary symmetric functions of* x_i*'s.*

Proposition 2-5.

(1) $\wp_{gi}$ $(i = 1, \cdots, g)$ *is elementary symmetric functions of* $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_g\}$*, i.e., for* $(x_1, \cdots, x_g) \in \mathrm{Sym}(C^g)$ *[Ba1, BEL2],*

$$F(x) = x^g - \sum_{i=1}^{g} \wp_{gi} x^{i-1}. \tag{2-19}$$

(2) $U := (2\wp_{gg} + \lambda_{2g}/6)$ *obeys the Korteweg-de Vries equations [BEL2 p52-53],*

$$4\frac{\partial U}{\partial u_{g-1}} + 6U\frac{\partial U}{\partial u_g} + \frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial u_g} = 0. \tag{2-20}$$

Definition 2-6.

(1) *A polynomial associated with* $F(x)$ *is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_i(x) &:= \frac{F(x)}{x - x_i} \\ &= \chi_{i,g-1}x^{g-1} + \chi_{i,g-2}x^{g-2} + \cdots + \chi_{i,1}x + \chi_{i,0},\end{aligned} \tag{2-21}$$

where $\chi_{i,g-1} \equiv 1$, $\chi_{i,g-2} = (x_1 + \cdots + x_g) - x_i$, *and so on.*

(2) *We will introduce* $g \times g$*-matrices,*

$$W := \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{1,0} & \chi_{1,1} & \cdots & \chi_{1,g-1} \\ \chi_{2,0} & \chi_{2,1} & \cdots & \chi_{2,g-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi_{g,0} & \chi_{g,1} & \cdots & \chi_{g,g-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & & \\ & y_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & y_g \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{F}' = \begin{pmatrix} F'(x_1) & & & \\ & F'(x_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & F'(x_g) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2-22}$$

where $F'(x) := dF(x)/dx$.

Lemma 2-7.

(1) *The inverse matrix of* W *is given by* $W^{-1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}V$, *where* V *is Vandermond matrix,*

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_g \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_g^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{g-1} & x_2^{g-1} & \cdots & x_g^{g-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2-23}$$

(2) *By letting* $\partial_{u_i} := \partial/\partial u_i$ *and* $\partial_{x_i} := \partial/\partial x_i$, *we obtain*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_{u_1} \\ \partial_{u_2} \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{u_g} \end{pmatrix} = 2\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{F}'^{-1} \cdot {}^tW \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} \\ \partial_{x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{x_g} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2-24}$$

Proof. (1) is obvious by direct substitution and uniqueness of an inverse matrix. We should pay attentions on the fixed parameters in the partial differential in (2). $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial u_i}$ means $\left(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\right)_{u_1,\cdots,u_{i-1},u_{i+1},\cdots,u_g}$ where indices are fixed parameters. Due to

$$dx_i = \sum_{j=1}^{g} \left(\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial u_j}\right)_{u_1,\cdots,u_{j-1},u_{j+1},\cdots,u_g} du_j, \tag{2-25}$$

we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\right)_{u_1,\cdots,u_{i-1},u_{i+1},\cdots,u_g} = \sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial u_i}\right)_{u_1,\cdots,u_{i-1},u_{i+1},\cdots,u_g}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}. \tag{2-26}$$

Comparing (2-26) with the definition (2-12), (2) is proved.∎

§3. Hyperelliptic Solutions of Modified Korteweg-de Vries Equation

In this section, we will give hyperelliptic solutions of the MKdV equation and Miura transformation in terms of theory of hyperelliptic function developed in nineteenth century.

First we will define the modified Korteweg-de Vries (MKdV) equation.

Definition 3-1.

The MKdV equation is given by the form,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}q + 6q^2\frac{\partial}{\partial s}q + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial s^3}q = 0, \tag{3-1}$$

where t and s are real or complex numbers.

Then we will give our main theorem of this article as follows.

Theorem 3-2.

(1) *By letting*

$$\mu^{(r)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\phi^{(r)}, \quad \phi^{(r)}(u) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\log F(b_r), \tag{3-2}$$

$\mu^{(r)}$ obeys the modified KdV equation,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{g-1}} - (\lambda_{2g}+b_r)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\right)\mu^{(r)} - 6{\mu^{(r)}}^2\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\mu^{(r)} + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_g^3}\mu^{(r)} = 0. \tag{3-3}$$

(2) *We have the Miura relation,*

$$2\wp_{gg} + \lambda_{2g} + b_r = {\mu^{(r)}}^2 + \sqrt{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\mu^{(r)}. \tag{3-4}$$

Before proving the theorem, we will remark the meanings of our theorem.

Remark 3-3.

(1) For arbitrary hyperelliptic curves, we can construct $\mu^{(r)}$ in (3-2) which obeys the MKdV equation in terms of the hyperelliptic al-function, $\mathrm{al}_r = \gamma'\sqrt{F(b_r)}$. It means that we present all finite type solutions of the MKdV equation which are expressed by meromorphic functions over hyperelliptic curves up to the symmetries of Proposition 2-2.

(2) Using the Miura transformation, the theorem means that we have another proof of Proposition 2-5 (2) on the hyperelliptic solutions of the KdV equation.

(3) There is a map from $\mathrm{Sym}^g(C_g)$ to $\mathbb{C}P^1$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\{(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_g)\} \arrow[r, "\mathbf{u}"] & \mathcal{J} \arrow[d, "\wp_{gg}"] \\
 & \{x_1 + \cdots + x_g\},
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3-5}$$

and this characterizes the $\wp_{gg}$ function [BBEIM]. It is noted that we can regard this map as a global affine map between the affine spaces, $\mathrm{Sym}^g(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbb{C}$. The global translation and dilation $x_i \to ax_i + b$ for all i $(a, b \in \mathbb{C})$ makes $\wp_{gg} \to a\wp_{gg} + gb$ but the curve C_g does not change due to Proposition 2-2. This translation freedom should be one of the keys of the inverse scattering method [GGKM]. Further Miura transformation connects between objects which are invariant and variant for translation respectively. In fact for the translation, Weierstrass al functions are invariant. It reminds us of stabilizer in homogeneous space.

Further we remark that in the property of infinite point, $\infty + a = \infty$, the invariance can be translated to an analysis at infinite point. With the properties of degenerate curve $y^2 = P(x)^2 x$ as mentioned in [Ma4], Miura transformation can be interpreted as Bäcklund transformation in a certain case.

(4) From the point of view of study of symmetric functions, $F(x)$ is a generator of the elementary symmetric functions whereas due to (3-9) the definition of $\mu^{(r)}$ in (3-2) is the resemble to the generator of the power sum symmetric function [Mac]

$$\frac{d}{dx} \log F(x) = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^j] \frac{1}{x^j}. \tag{3-6}$$

(5) Due to the Miura transformation, the differential $\partial\mu^{(r)}/\partial u_g$ can be expressed by polynomial of $\wp_{gg}$ and $\mu^{(r)}$'s. In other words, it connects categories of analysis and algebra. In fact, the Miura transformation can be translated to a language in theory of a geometrical Dirac equation of a curve in a complex plane, or Frenet-Serret relation [Ma4]. There the Miura transformation is interpreted as an integrability condition in the $\mathcal{D}$-module ([Bj] p.12-13, [Ma4]).

(6) In [EEK], Eilbeck, Enolskii and Kostov studied the vector nonlinear Schrösinger equations and obtained a formula, ((3-8) in [EEK]) which is essentially the same as (3-3), even though they did not mention a relation between their formula and the Miura transformation.

Here we will mention our plan to prove the theorem. First we will prove the Miura relation (3-4). As the first step in its proof, we will translate the operation of $\partial/\partial u_g$ into that of $\partial/\partial x_i$ and compute (3-2). Secondly we will evaluate the obtained terms by using the symmetries of summation and residual computation over the curve. Then we obtain the Miura relation (3-4). Next, we will investigate the the right hand side in an integration version of (3-3). If we show that $\phi^{(r)}$ in (3-2) obeys

$$4\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{g-1}} - (\lambda_{2g} + b_r)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\right)\phi^{(r)} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\phi^{(r)}\right)^3 + \frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_g^3}\phi^{(r)} = 0, \tag{3-7}$$

we have a solution of (3-3) by differentiating (3-7) in u_g again. In the derivation, we will use the Miura relation and a correspondences between $\partial/\partial u_{g-1}$ and $\partial/\partial x_i$'s. We will obtain (3-7) at (3-31).

Now let us prove the theorem. From (2-25), we will express u's by the affine coordinate x_i's,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g} = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{g-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{2y_i \chi_{i,g-1}}{F'(x_i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}. \tag{3-8}$$

Hence we have

$$\mu^{(r)} \equiv \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\log F(b_r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{y_i}{F'(x_i)(x_i-b_r)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{y_i}{F'(x_i)b_r}\frac{x_i^j}{b_r^j}, \tag{3-9}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{g-1}}\log F(b_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{2y_i\chi_{i,g-1}}{F'(x_i)(x_i-b_r)}. \tag{3-10}$$

Roughly speaking, we wish to compute

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_g^2}\log F(b_r) = \sum_{j=1,i=1}^{g}\frac{y_j}{F'(x_j)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)(x_i-b_r)}, \tag{3-11}$$

and express it by lower derivative.

Here we will summary the derivatives of $F(x)$, which are shown by direct computations.

Lemma 3-4.

(1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}F(x) = -\frac{F(x)}{x-x_j}. \tag{3-12}$$

(2)

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}F(x)\right]_{x=x_i} = \prod_{j\neq i}(x_i-x_j). \tag{3-13}$$

(3)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}F'(x_k) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}F(x)\right]_{x=x_k}. \tag{3-14}$$

In order to evaluate (3-11), we will first show the next lemma.

Lemma 3-5.

(1)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{g}\frac{1}{F'(x_k)}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{f(x)}{(x-b_r)F'(x)}\right)\right]_{x=x_k} = \lambda_{2g} + b_r + 2\wp_{gg}. \tag{3-15}$$

(2)

$$\left(\sum_k \frac{y_k}{(x-x_k)F'(x_k)}\right)^2 = \sum_{k,l,k\neq l}\frac{2y_ky_l}{(x-x_k)(x_k-x_l)F'(x_k)F'(x_l)} + \sum_k \frac{y_k^2}{(x-x_k)^2F'(x_k)^2}. \tag{3-16}$$

Proof. : In order to prove (1), we will consider an integral over a contour in C_g and step by four processes as follows.

(1) Let ∂C_g^o be a boundary of a polygon representation C_g^o of the curve C_g,

$$\oint_{\partial C_g^o}\frac{f(x)}{(x-b_r)F(x)^2}dx = 0. \tag{3-17}$$

(2) The divisor of the integrand of (1) is

$$\left(\frac{f(x)}{(x-b_r)F(x)^2}dx\right) = \sum_{i=1,b_i\neq b_r}^{2g+1}(b_i,0) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{g}(x_i,y_i) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{g}(x_i,-y_i) - 3\infty. \tag{3-18}$$

(3) Noting that the local parameter t at ∞ is $x = 1/t^2$,

$$\mathrm{res}_\infty \frac{f(x)}{(x-b_r)F(x)^2}dx = -2(\lambda_{2g} + b_r + 2\wp_{gg}). \tag{3-19}$$

(4) Noting that the local parameter t at $(x_k, \pm y_k)$ is $t = x - x_k$,

$$\mathrm{res}_{(x_k,\pm y_k)} \frac{f(x)}{(x-b_r)F(x)^2}dx = \frac{1}{F'(x_k)}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{f(x)}{(x-b_r)F'(x)}\right)\right]_{x=x_k}. \tag{3-20}$$

By arranging them, we obtain (3-15). On the other hand, (2) can be proved by using a trick: for $i \neq j$,

$$\frac{1}{(x_j-x_i)(x_i-b_r)} + \frac{1}{(x_i-x_j)(x_j-b_r)} = \frac{1}{(x_j-b_r)(x_i-b_r)}. \tag{3-21}$$

Then we have (3-16). ∎

Let us compute (3-11), which is $\sqrt{-1}\partial\mu^{(r)}/\partial u_g$, as follows;

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_g^2}\log F(b_r) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{y_i}{F'(x_i)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{y_i}{F'(x_i)(x_i-b_r)} + 2\sum_{i=1,j=1,j\neq i}^{g}\frac{y_j}{F'(x_j)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\frac{y_i}{F'(x_i)(x_i-b_r)}. \tag{3-22}$$

The first term in the right hand side of (3-22) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{y_i}{F'(x_i)}\left(\frac{1}{y_iF'(x_i)(x_i-b_r)}\frac{df(x_i)}{dx_i} - \frac{y_i}{F'(x_i)(x_i-b_r)}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}F(x)\right]_{x=x_i} - \frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)(x_i-b_r)^2}\right). \tag{3-23}$$

Using the lemma 3-4 (1), it can be modified to,

$$\sum_{i=1,j=1,j\neq i}^{g}\frac{1}{F'(x_k)}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{f(x)}{(x-b_r)F'(x)}\right)\right]_{x=x_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{f(x_i)}{F'(x_i)^2}\left(\frac{1}{(x_i-b_r)^2}\right). \tag{3-24}$$

Using (3-15), the first term in (3-24) is expressed well. On the other hand, the second term in the right hand side of (3-22) is computed to

$$2\sum_{i=1,j=1,j\neq i}^{g}\frac{y_iy_j}{F'(x_j)F'(x_i)}\frac{1}{(x_j-x_i)(x_i-b_r)}. \tag{3-25}$$

From lemma 3-5 (2), the second term in (3-24) and (3-25) becomes

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)}\frac{1}{(x_i-b_r)}\right)^2 \equiv -{\mu^{(r)}}^2. \tag{3-26}$$

Hence we have Theorem 3-2 (2).

Next we will prove (3-3) as follows: From theorem 3-2 (2), ($\gamma_{g-1} \equiv -\wp_{gg}$ due to (2-18) and (2-19)) , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\mu^{(r)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\left(-2\gamma_{g-1} + \lambda_{2g} + b_r - {\mu^{(r)}}^2\right). \tag{3-27}$$

We perform the differential in u_g to both hand side again,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_g^2}\mu^{(r)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\left(-2\gamma_{g-1} + \lambda_{2g} + b_r - {\mu^{(r)}}^2\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\left(-2\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)} - 2\mu^{(r)}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\mu^{(r)}\right)\\ &= \frac{-2}{\sqrt{-1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}}\mu^{(r)}\left[-2\gamma_{g-1} + \lambda_{2g} + b_r - {\mu^{(r)}}^2\right]\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3-28}$$

In the second step, we used (3-27) again and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\gamma_{g-1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)}. \tag{3-29}$$

Noting $\chi_{i,g-1} = \gamma_{g-1} - x_i$, the first and second term in the parenthesis is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{-1}}\gamma_{g-1}\mu^{(r)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)}\frac{x_i - b_r}{x_i - b_r} + \gamma_{g-1}\sum_{i=1}^{g}\frac{2y_i}{F'(x_i)(x_i - b_r)}\\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{g-1}}\log F(b_r) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{-1}}b_r\mu^{(r)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3-30}$$

Thus we obtain (3-7),

$$-\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_g^3}\phi^{(r)} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{g-1}} - (\lambda_{2g} + b_r)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\right)\phi^{(r)} + \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_g}\phi^{(r)}\right)^3. \tag{3-31}$$

We differentiate (3-31) in u_g again and then obtain (3-3). Hence we completely prove our theorem 3-2.

§4 Discussion

We obtained the hyperelliptic solutions of MKdV equation in terms of hyperelliptic al functions without any theta functions, which is in contrast to the approach of Gesztesy and Holden [GH1] and others [BBEIM and references therein]. For an arbitrary hyperelliptic curve, we can write down its explicit function form by al function. Miura transformation means a connection between the $\wp_{gg}$-functions and $\mu^{(r)}$'s in (3-2) which are differentials of the hyperelliptic al-functions; this aspect also differs from study on the Miura transformation of Gesztesy and Holden [GH2].

According to [Kl2] and [D], Weierstrass found the σ function for an elliptic curve, which he called *al*-function as a honor of Abel, and his approach was to investigate divisor decomposition of a function,

$$y = 4y_1y_2y_3, \tag{4-1}$$

for an elliptic curve $y^2 = 4x^3 + g_3 x + g_4 = 4(x-e_1)(x-e_2)(x-e_3)$; $y_i = \sqrt{x - e_i}$. These y_i differs from his σ-function itself but corresponds to our al-function (2-17). y_i is expressed by a ratio of two σ's. The function y_i's are generators of Jacobi sn, cn, dn functions. Comparison of y_i's with $\wp$ gives us fruitful information for the elliptic curve case. It is useful to identify these *sn*'s with y_i's.

For the case of hyperelliptic curves, Weierstrass called y_i's themselves *al*-functions and defined them as in (2-17) with explicit constant factor γ' [D, Kl, W]. (The hyperelliptic sigma functions also are factors of al_r's, $\mathrm{al}_r(u) = \sigma(u + B_r)/\sigma(u)$ for a certain B_r, which were discovered by Klein [Kl1].) In [Ba2], Baker found the KdV hierarchy and KP equation around 1898 by comparing $F(x)$ and $\wp$'s. It is also important that we roughly identified with $F(b_r)$ and al_r and recognize that $\mu^{(r)}$ comes from $F(b_r)$. Miura transformation is a key of the relations between $F(x)$ and $\wp_{gg}$ as shown in this article. In fact, the techniques in the proof of Theorem 3-2 are essentially contained in [Ba2]. In other words we should regard that there existed "Miura transformation" behind discoveries of KdV hierarchy and KP equation by Baker, from first [Ba2].

In theory of elliptic functions, we know, by experiences, that Jacobi elliptic functions rather than $\wp$ function play important roles in physics whereas $\wp$ is more essential in number theories and algebraic geometry. I stemmed a question why there are such difference between Jacobi elliptic functions, special ones of al-functions, and $\wp$ function. One of answers is that al function is invariant for the affine transformation as mentioned in Remark 3-3. On the other hand, $\wp$ is not. Of course for the standard representation of elliptic curve, *i.e.*, $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2 x + g_3$, in order to make the coefficient of x^2 vanish, the translation freedom is constraint. However we can find $\wp$ even for $y^2 = 4x^3 + g_1 x^2 + g_2 x + g_3$ and the nature of such $\wp$ is x itself in the affine space. Thus al and $\wp$ live in different categories. As we show in [Ma1] and [Ma4], al is associated with the differential geometry and solutions of Dirac equations, while $\wp$ is connected to algebraic geometry; $\wp$ is the affine coordinate of the curve.

Hence we conclude that Miura transformation is a connection between the worlds of $\wp$ and al's. I think that the researchers in nineteenth century might implicitly already recognized these facts. However as we can, now, look around both theories of "Abelian functions theories" in last century and nineteenth century, I think that we should unify them and develop a new theory beyond them.

Acknowledgment

I'm grateful to Prof. Y. Ônishi for many suggestions for this work. I thank Prof. V. Z. Enolskii for sending his interesting works and giving helpful comments.

References

[**Ba1**] Baker, H. F., *Abelian functions – Abel's theorem and the allied theory including the theory of the theta functions –*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1897, republication 1995.

[**Ba2**] ———, *On the hyperelliptic sigma functions*, Amer. J. of Math. **XX** (1898), 301-384.

[**Ba3**] ———, *On a system of differential equations leading to periodic functions*, Acta math. **27** (1903), 135-156.

[**BBEIM**] E. D. Belokolos, A. I. Bobenko, V. Z. Enol'skii, A. R. Its and V. B. Matveev, *Algebro-Geometric Approach to Nonlinear Integrable Equations*, Springer, New York, 1994.

[**BEL1**] Buchstaber, V. M., Enolskii, V. Z. and Leykin D. V., *Hyperelliptic Kleinian Functions and Application*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trnasl. **179** (1997), 1-33.

[**BEL2**] Buchstaber, V. M., Enolskii, V. Z. and Leykin D. V., *Kleinian Functions, Hyperelliptic Jacobians and Applications*, Reviews in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics (London) (1997), Gordon and Breach, India, 1-125.

[**BEL3**] Buchstaber, V. M., Enolskii, V. Z. and Leykin D. V., *Uniformization of the Jacobi varieties of trigonal curves and nonlinear differential equations*, Func. Anal. Appl. **34** (2000), 1-15.

[**Bj**] Björk, J-E, *Analytic $\mathcal{D}$-Modules and Applications*, Kluwer, Dordrecht,, 1992.

[**CEEK**] Christiansen, P. L., Eilbeck, J. C., Enolskii, V. Z. and Kostov, N. A., *Quasi-periodic and periodic solutions for coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations of Manakov type*, Proc. R. Solc. Lond. A **456** (2000), 2263-2281.

[**DKJM**] Date, E., Kashiwara, M., Jimbo, M. and Miwa, T., *Nonlinear Integrable Systems - Classical Theory and Quantum Theory* (Jimbo, M. and Miwa, T., eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1983, pp. 39-119.

[**EE**] Eilbeck, J. C. and Enolskii, V. Z., *Bilinear operators and the power series for the Weierstrass σ function*, J. Phys. A: Math. & Gen. **33** (2000), 791-794.

[**EEK**] Eilbeck, J. C., Enolskii, V. Z. and Kostov N. A., *Quasi-Periodic and Periodic Solutions for Vector Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), 8236-8248.

[**EEL**] Eilbeck, J. C., Enolskii, V. Z. and Leykin D. V., *Proceedings of the Conference SIDE III: Symmetries of Integrable Differences Equations, Saubadia, May 1998, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes*, 2000, pp. 121-138.

[**EEP**] Eilbeck, J. C., Enolskii, V. Z. and Perviato E., *Varieties of elliptic solitons*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **456** (2000), 2263-2281.

[**G**] Grant, D., *Formal groups in genus two*, J. reine angew. Math. **411** (1990), 96–121.

[**GGKM**] Gradner, C. S.M Greene, J. M., Kruska, M. D., and Miura, R. M., *Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967), 1095-1097.

[**GH1**] Gesztesy F and Holden, H., *A combined sine-Gordon and modified Kortewg-de Vries hierarchy and its algebro-geometric solutions*, solv-int/9707010 (2000), 133-173.

[**GH2**] Gesztesy F and Holden, H., *The Cole-Hopf and Miura transformation revised*, solv-int/9812025 (1998).

[**Kl1**] Klein F., *Ueber hyperelliptische Sigmafunctionen*, Math. Ann. **27** (1886), 431-464.

[**Kl2**] Klein F., *Vorlesungen über die Entwicklung der Mathematik im 19. Jahrhundert I*, Springer, Berlin, 1926.

[**Kr**] Krichever I. M., *Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of nonlinear equations*, Russian Math. Surverys **32** (1977), 185-213.

[**L**] Lamb, G. L., Jr., *Elements of Soliton Theory*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1980.

[**Mac**] Macdonald, I. G., *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, seoncd edition*, Clarendon press, Oxford, 1995.

[**Ma1**] Matsutani S., *Closed Loop Solitons and Sigma Functions: Classical and Quantized Elasticas with Genera One and Two"*, J. Geom. Phys. **39** (2001), 50-61.

[**Ma2**] ———, *Hyperelliptic Solutions of KdV and KP equations: Reevaluation of Baker's Study on Hyperelliptic Sigma Functions*, J. Phys. A **34** (2001), 4721-4732.

[**Ma3**] ———, *Soliton Solutions of Korteweg-de Vries Equations and Hyperelliptic Sigma Functions*, math-ph/0105032.

[**Ma4**] ———, *Hyperelliptic Loop Solitons with Genus g: Investigations of a Quantized Elastica*, to appear in J. Geom. Phys., nlin.SI/0108002.

[**N**] Nijhoff, F. W., *Discrete Dubrovin Equations and Separation of Variables for Discrete Systems*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals **11** (2000), 19-28.

[**Ô1**] Ônishi Y., *Complex multiplication formulae for curves of genus three*, Tokyo J. Math. **21** (1998), 381-431.

[**Ô2**] ———, *Determinatal Expressions for Some Abelian Functions in Genus Two*, preprint math.NT/0105188 (2000).

[**SN**] Sato, M and Noumi. M, *Soliton Equations and Infinite Dimensional Grassmann Manifold*, Sofia University Lecture Note **18** (1984), Tokyo. (Japanese)

[**SS**] Sato, M and Sato, Y, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Applied Science* (Fujita, H, Lax, P.D. and Strang, G, eds.), Kinokuniya/North-Holland, Tokyo, 1984.

[**TD**] Tanaka, S. and Date, E, *KdV equation (KdV houteishiki)*, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1979. (japanese)

[**W**] Weierstrass K, *Mathematische Werke I*, Mayer und Müller, Berlin, 1894.